

Project Name:	Unclaimed Checks Web Application
	http://www.miamidade.gov/itgovernance/library/business_cases_2005/Business_Case_Finance6_UnclaimedChecks.pdf
Department Name:	Finance
Project Amount:	\$150,000

EVALUATION CRITERIA	RATING (Use drop down boxes)	Comments/Instructions
A. BUSINESS IMPACT		
1. How closely are the project's objectives aligned with County's strategic plan?	4	5= Strong Alignment, 1=No Alignment
2. Is the project an enterprise solution that will be used by more than one agency?	YES	Yes or No
3. To what extent will the project improve internal workflow and productivity and enhance customer service? See tab below for examples on how to rate.	5	5=Major Impact, 1=Minimal Impact
4. How well have projected benefits been identified and quantified? See tab below for examples on how to rate.	3	5=Benefits clearly identified/quantified, 1=Benefits not identified
B. ARCHITECTURAL FIT		
5. To what extent will the project serve to improve the County's underlying technical infrastructure?	3	5=Major Infrastructure Improvement, 1=No Improvement
C. OTHER		
6. Is the project a mandate (i.e., required by legislation or regulation)?	NO	Yes or No
7. Is this project the agency's first or only priority?	NO	Yes or No
8. Is the proposed project the subsequent phase of a project that is currently in progress or has recently been completed?	NO	Yes or No
9. Does the project introduce a new technology that will or can be evaluated for potential use elsewhere in Miami-Dade County (a pilot or "frontier" project)? See tab below for examples on how to rate.	NO	Yes or No

Additional Comments (Optional):
<p>In the background section the phrase "significant manual research" is used as the part of the basis for the justification to spend \$150,000 in capital funds to replace the existing 'manual' system. No analysis was provided as to the payback in avoided operating cost. Additionally, it appears that 'manual' efforts will still be required to input the base data into this new database such that the web can display it in various ways. Is that a new function or part of what is being done at present? Also "extensive research" by the Finance Department is claimed as part of the justification for this upgrade, yet no data is given as to the avoided cost if this is implemented. The Department Priority of 6 was the lowest I saw in any of the projects I was requested to review.</p>